

One Lincoln Center | Syracuse, NY 13202-1355 | bsk.com

GEORGE R. MCGUIRE, ESQ.

gmcguire@bsk.com P: 315.218.8515 F: 315.218.8415 C: 315.278.5604

May 4, 2021

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Hon. Thomas J. McAvoy Senior U.S. District Judge United States District Court Northern District of New York Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse 15 Henry Street Binghamton, NY 13901

Re: Novartis Pharma AG et al. v Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Case No. 1:20-cv-00690-TJM-CFH

Dear Judge McAvoy:

We, along with Goodwin Procter, LLP, are counsel to Plaintiffs Novartis Pharma AG, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation and Novartis Technology LLC (together, "Novartis") in the above-referenced action. We write to update the Court on the official termination of ITC Investigation No. 337-TA-1207 (the "ITC Investigation").

By way of background, on July 30, 2020, this Court granted the motion of defendant Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("Regeneron") for a stay pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1659 ("§1659") in light of the co-pending ITC Investigation between the parties. [Dkt. 25] On April 8, 2021 [Dkt. 31], Novartis informed the Court that the ITC Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") had issued an initial determination terminating the ITC Investigation, and that, under the terms of §1659, the stay should accordingly be lifted. In its letter to the Court dated April 16, 2021 [Dkt. 37] (the "April 16 Letter"), Regeneron conceded that the stay under §1659 can only last until the ITC investigation is terminated, but urged the Court not to lift the stay until the Commission performed the ministerial act of approving the ALJ's initial determination.

The Commission has now issued the enclosed Notice officially terminating the ITC Investigation. There is therefore no longer any reason to delay lifting the stay, and Novartis respectfully requests that the Court do so, and schedule a Rule 16 conference at its earliest convenience.

While Regeneron's April 16 Letter also asked the Court to issue a new stay based on its recent filing of an IPR petition, Novartis explained in its April 20, 2021 response [Dkt.

Hon. Thomas J. McAvoy May 4, 2021 Page 2

38] why Regeneron's request is meritless. In any event, Novartis submits that Regeneron's request should be handled in the context of a Rule 16 conference and Civil Case Management Plan.

Very truly yours,

BOND, SCHOENECK & KING, PLLC

George R. McGuire

Leonge D. M. Mune

Member

GRM/

Enclosures

cc: Counsel of Record (via ECF)